1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	david.schrader@morganlewis.com (a 300 South Grand Avenue b Twenty-Second Floor N Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 (a Tel: +1.213.612.2500 m Fax: +1.213.612.2501 6 Mark A. Feller, Bar No. 319789 N	Brian M. Ercole admitted pro hac vice) prian.ercole@morganlewis.com Matthew M. Papkin admitted pro hac vice) priatthew.papkin@morganlewis.com admitted Pro hac vice) admitted Pro hac vice
9	Attorneys for Defendant Tesla, Inc.	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	JAMES PORTER, et al.	Case No. 4:23-cv-03878-YGR
13	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF MARK A.
14	V.	FELLER IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE
15	TESLA, INC.,	MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND MOTION TO
16	Defendant.	DISMISS HEARING DATE
17	AND RELATED CASES:	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez-Rogers
18		Current Hearing Date: January 30, 2024
19	ALEJANDRO CORONA, et al. v. TESLA, I Case No. 4:23-cv-3902-YGR	Proposed Hearing Date: February 13, 2024
20	SAMUEL VAN DIEST, et al. v. TESLA, INC. Case No. 4:23-cv-4098-YGR	NC.
21		I.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1

DECLARATION OF MARK A. FELLER

this Court, and am an attorney with Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, attorneys of record for

Defendant Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla") in the above-captioned actions. In accordance with Civil L.R. 6-3,

I make this declaration in support of the Parties' Stipulation To Continue Motion To Compel

hearing on eleven motions in limine in Salas et al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., Case No.

CV15-08629 HDV (E) (C.D. Cal) which is set to start trial on February 27, 2024, in Los Angeles,

California before the Honorable Hernán D. Vera in Los Angeles. The motion in limine hearing

creates a conflict for counsel for Tesla with the in-person hearing on the Motion to Compel

the hearing on the Motion to Compel Arbitration and Motion To Dismiss to February 13, 2024 at

stipulation to change time for Defendant to respond to class action complaint. See Dkt. No. 22 in

the Porter Action. The second was a stipulation to extend time for Plaintiffs to Amend their

the time for Tesla to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. See Dkt. No. 15 in the Corona

extended the time for Tesla to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. See Dkt. No. 19 in the

Arbitration and Motion To Dismiss in the three related cases (*Porter, Corona*, and *Van Diest*).

I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice in the State of California and before

On January 30, 2024 beginning at 10:00 a.m., counsel for Tesla has an in-person

On January 17-18, 2024, the parties conferred via email and agreed to the continue

The Parties in the *Porter* Action have sought two other extensions. The first was a

The Parties in the Corona Action have sought one other extension, which extended

The Parties in the Van Diest Action have sought one other extension, which

2 3 I, Mark A. Feller, hereby declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

Arbitration And Motion To Dismiss Hearing Date.

Complaint. See Dkt. No. 31 in the Porter Action.

4

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

Van Diest Action.

Action.

2:00 p.m.

5 6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP LOS ANGELES

1	7. The requested continuance of the motion hearing date does not affect any other
2	deadlines in these three cases.
3	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this
4	January 18, 2024, in El Cerrito, California.
5	/s/ Mark A. Feller
6	Mark A. Feller
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
&	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES